



2011 Second Quarter Pulse Survey:

A Survey of Timeshare & Vacation Ownership Resort Companies

Prepared for the ARDA International Foundation

American Resort Development Association



Deloitte & Touche LLP
Suite 1800
201 East Kennedy Blvd
Suite 1200
Tampa, Florida 33602-4990
USA

Tel: +1 813 273 8300
Fax: +1 813 229 7698

To the Research Committee of
ARDA International Foundation
1201 15th Street NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005

Dear Members of the Committee:

Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte & Touche) is pleased to submit the results of the *2011 Second Quarter Pulse Survey: A Survey of Timeshare & Vacation Ownership Resort Companies*. Our services were performed and this report was developed in accordance with our engagement letter dated December 1, 2009, and is subject to the terms and conditions included therein.

Our services were performed in accordance with Standards for Consulting Services established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, we are providing no opinion, attestation, or other form of assurance with respect to our work and we did not verify or audit any information provided to us.

Our work was limited to the specific procedures and analysis described herein and was based only on the information made available by the survey respondents through August 4, 2011. Accordingly, changes in circumstances after that date could affect the findings outlined in this report.

This information has been prepared solely for the use and benefit of, and pursuant to a client relationship exclusively with, the ARDA International Foundation. Deloitte & Touche disclaims any contractual or other responsibility to others based on its use, and accordingly, this information may not be relied upon by anyone other than the ARDA International Foundation. Recognizing that the ARDA International Foundation may desire to reprint and distribute this report, the report must be reprinted and/or distributed in its entirety. Deloitte & Touche accepts no liability or responsibility to any third party who gains access to this report in its entirety or in part.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

August 19, 2011

Table of Contents

Overview and Key Findings	1
Survey Results	3
Second Quarter Aggregate Results	12
Method	14
About Deloitte.....	15

Although the information in this report has been obtained from sources that Deloitte & Touche believes to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy, and such information may be incomplete. This report is for information purposes only.

All opinions and estimates included in this report constitute our judgment as of August 19, 2011, and are subject to revision.

2011 Second Quarter Pulse Survey

Preamble

This survey is a summary of selected key metrics that provide an overview of the vacation timeshare industry in the United States. It is not a comment on any individual company, whose performance may vary from the information included in this study.¹

Overview and Key Findings

To provide current information on the financial performance of the vacation timeshare industry, ARDA International Foundation engaged Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte & Touche) to conduct the second quarter 2011 survey of U.S. timeshare companies that were in active sales during the quarter. The results cover 26 responding companies with aggregate net originated sales of approximately \$1.2 billion in the second quarter 2011. The financial information contained in this survey includes second quarter 2011 (Q2 2011) compared to second quarter 2010 (Q2 2010), except for section 1(A) which compared Q2 2011 to the first quarter of 2011 (Q1 2011). The following summarizes the key findings of the survey.

1(A). Consumer timeshare loan portfolios experienced an increase in currency and a slight decrease in chargeoffs when comparing Q1 2011 and Q2 2011

The amount of consumer timeshare loans that were current or fewer than 31 days past due as of June 30, 2011, increased 0.4 percentage points from one quarter earlier and the percent of consumer timeshare loans that were more than 120 days delinquent decreased 0.2 percentage points for the same time periods. The amount charged against the allowance for uncollectible accounts in Q2 2011 decreased by 0.2% to 2.5%.

1(B). Consumer timeshare loan portfolios experienced a slight increase in delinquencies while chargeoffs remained unchanged when comparing Q2 2010 and Q2 2011

Survey respondents reported that payments for 88.4 percent of the dollar value of their consumer timeshare loans were current or fewer than 31 days past due as of June 30 2011, representing a 0.2 percentage point decrease from one year earlier. As of June 30, 2011, 7.8 percent of consumer timeshare loans were more than 120 days delinquent, which is 0.4 percentage points higher than one year earlier. The amount charged against the allowance for uncollectible accounts in Q2 2011, as a percentage of the gross outstanding portfolio balance, was 2.5 percent, which remained unchanged from Q2 2010.

2. Resort occupancy increased slightly, remaining strong at 80.4 percent in the second quarter

Average occupancy levels increased 0.3 percentage points to 80.4 percent in Q2 2011 compared to Q2 2010. One resort company reported a Q2 2010 to Q2 2011 occupancy increase of 7.9 percentage points, the next largest increase was 3.9 percentage points. Twelve resort companies had increased occupancy from Q2 2010 and two resorts reported no change from Q2 2010. The highest and lowest occupancy rates for Q2 2011 were 94.0 percent and 44.0 percent, respectively.

¹ The first quarter 2011 pulse survey included additional statistics and clarifications deemed to be useful to the reader in evaluating how the industry was being impacted by the current economic environment. The changes that were made in the first quarter 2011 survey have been continued in this survey. Please refer to the report on the first quarter 2011 pulse survey for details of these changes.

3. Timeshare sales volume increased in the second quarter

Aggregate net originated sales, including telesales, increased 3.5 percent from Q2 2010 to Q2 2011. The average transaction value, a measure of the average dollar value of consumer purchases that reflects multiple factors such as changes in product mix, pricing, and purchasing patterns, was \$17,262 during Q2 2011, a decrease of 2.4 percent from Q2 2010. Volume per guest (VPG), a measure of the average value of consumer purchases per sales tour, was \$2,446 during Q2 2011, an increase of 0.5 percent from Q2 2010. Sales for non-owned timeshare inventory sold under fee-for-service agreements increased from Q2 2010 by 49.2 percent.

4. Consumer financing terms remained stable as average down payments increased in the second quarter

The results of this survey indicate that the average new consumer timeshare loan term was shorter by 0.8 months at approximately 116.3 months in Q2 2011 compared to Q2 2010. The average down payment on non-upgrade sales increased by 1.8 percentage points to 20.1 percent from Q2 2010 to Q2 2011 while interest rates decreased 0.4 percentage points to 13.9 percent.

5. Capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to Q2 2010

Survey respondents were asked to provide total capital expenditures related to new timeshare inventory projects and completion of existing inventory projects. The results of the survey indicate that capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory decreased by 28.8 percent from Q2 2010 to Q2 2011.

Survey Results

The following summarizes the survey results.

1(A). Consumer timeshare loan portfolios experienced an increase in currency and a slight decrease in chargeoffs when comparing Q1 2011 and Q2 2011.

The composition of receivables portfolios² was evaluated as of June 30, 2011, and compared to the composition as of March 31, 2011. The total aggregate receivables for the companies that provided receivables data was \$11.5 billion as of June 30, 2011 and \$11.3 billion as of March 31, 2011.

Receivables portfolios showed a decrease in delinquencies (greater than 31 days past due) as of June 30, 2011, compared to one quarter earlier. Respondents reported that payments for 88.4 percent of the value of their loan portfolios were current (measured as fewer than 31 days past due) as of June 30, 2011, 0.4 percentage points higher than one quarter earlier. Also, as of June 30, 2011, 7.8 percent of consumer timeshare loan portfolios by dollar value were more than 120 days delinquent, 0.2 percentage points lower than one quarter earlier. Most respondents reported an increased share of current loans, while seven reported no change.

Figure 1A. Aging of consumer timeshare loan portfolios by survey respondents

	March 31, 2011	June 30, 2011	Increase/(Decrease)
Current	88.0%	88.4%	0.4%
31 to 60 days	1.9%	1.8%	(0.1%)
61 to 90 days	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
91 to 120 days	1.0%	0.9%	(0.1%)
More than 120 days	8.0%	7.8%	(0.2%)
Total	100%	100%	

Source: Deloitte & Touche based on a minimum of 25 company survey responses. The first quarter 2011 data herein was obtained from the 2011 First Quarter Pulse Survey.

Respondents were asked to report a default rate measured as gross defaults charged against the allowance for uncollectible accounts during the second quarter, as a percentage of gross outstanding portfolio balance at period-end. The weighted average default rate in Q2 2011 was 2.5 percent, down from 2.7 percent in Q1 2011. Fifty-four percent of respondents reported a decrease in the default rate from Q1 2011 to Q2 2011.

² Receivables portfolio is defined as the total portfolio of consumer timeshare loan receivables held and/or serviced by the company, including securitized and hypothecated receivables, and receivables for sales made in earlier years.

1(B). Consumer timeshare loan portfolios experienced a slight increase in delinquencies while chargeoffs remained unchanged when comparing Q2 2010 and Q2 2011

The composition of receivables portfolios was evaluated as of June 30, 2011, and compared to composition as of June 30, 2010. The total aggregate receivables for the 25 companies that provided receivables data was \$11.5 billion as of June 30, 2011, and \$11.9 billion as of June 30, 2010.

Receivables portfolios showed an overall slight increase in delinquencies (greater than 31 days past due) at June 30, 2011, compared to one year earlier. Respondents reported that payments for 88.4 percent of the value of their loan portfolios were current (measured as fewer than 31 days past due) as of June 30, 2011, 0.2 percentage points lower than one year earlier. Also, as of June 30, 2011, 7.8 percent of consumer timeshare loan portfolios by dollar value were more than 120 days delinquent, 0.4 percentage points higher than one year earlier. Nine respondents reported a reduced share of current loans and eleven respondents reported an increased share of current loans.

Figure 1B. Aging of consumer timeshare loan portfolios by survey respondents

	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	Increase/(Decrease)
Current	88.6%	88.4%	(0.2%)
31 to 60 days	1.9%	1.8%	(0.1%)
61 to 90 days	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%
91 to 120 days	1.0%	0.9%	(0.1%)
More than 120 days	7.4%	7.8%	0.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	

Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 25 company survey responses.

Respondents were asked to report a default rate measured as gross defaults charged against the allowance for uncollectible accounts during the second quarter, as a percentage of gross outstanding portfolio balance at period-end. The weighted average default rate in Q2 2011 was 2.5 percent, which remained unchanged from Q2 2010. Thirteen respondents reported a decrease in the default rate when comparing Q2 2011 to Q2 2010, however, six respondents reported an increased default rate and three respondents reported no change in default rate.


2. Resort occupancy increased slightly, remaining strong at 80.4 percent in the second quarter

Survey respondents were asked to provide information on timeshare unit occupancy. For the 25 companies that provided quarterly occupancy data, representing approximately 8 million room nights in Q2 2011, the average occupancy rate increased 0.3 percentage points to 80.4 percent in Q2 2011, compared to 80.1 percent in Q2 2010.

3. Timeshare sales volume increased in the second quarter

Companies provided data on a set of key sales indicators. In total, the 25 respondents that provided sales information reported approximately \$1.2 billion in net originated timeshare sales³ (including telesales) in Q2 2011. This was a 3.5 percent increase in Q2 2011 when compared to Q2 2010, as reported by the same respondents.

Figure 2. Net originated sales (including telesales)




Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 25 company survey responses.

Respondents were asked a question related to the amount of net originated sales (including telesales) from non-upgrade and upgrade sales. The twenty-three respondent companies that provided non-upgrade sales information reported approximately \$700 million in non-upgrade net originated timeshare sales (including telesales) in Q2 2011, which is a 4.7 percent increase from Q2 2010. The twenty-one respondent companies that provided upgrade sales information reported approximately \$370 million in upgrade net originated timeshare sales (including telesales) in Q2 2011, which is a 2.7 percent increase from Q2 2010.


³ Net originated sales refers to gross sales revenues net of rescissions, but before reduction of revenue for uncollectible accounts.

Figure 2a. Net originated sales (including telesales) – Non-Upgrade



Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 23 company survey responses.

Figure 2b. Net originated sales (including telesales) – Upgrade



Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 21 company survey responses.

Twenty-five companies reported net originated sales excluding telesales. Twenty-four respondents provided detailed information on the number of tours and twenty-six respondents provided detailed information on the number of sales transactions. The companies reported approximately \$1.2 billion in net originated sales (excluding telesales) in Q2 2011; also reported that the aggregate number of tours⁴ increased 5.6 percent in Q2 2011 compared to Q2 2010; reported the aggregate number of sales transactions increased 3.6 percent, and the calculated aggregate average close rate (excluding sales that are canceled through rescission) remained the same; and reported the average transaction value decreased 2.4 percent, resulting in a 5.3 percent increase in net originated sales (excluding telesales).⁵

Services related to sales and marketing arrangements in the form of sale of timeshare interests on behalf of other developers is gaining popularity within the industry. Respondents were asked a question related to sales for non-owned timeshare inventory sold under fee-for-service agreements. Of the 26 respondents, six companies are selling and marketing timeshare on behalf of other developers. Of the six respondents providing fee-for-service, three companies provided fee for service in Q2 2010. Two companies increased fee-for-service operations and one company decreased fee-for-service operations in Q2 2011 compared to Q2 2010. In total, the six respondents reported net originated sales for non-owned timeshare inventory sold under fee-for-service agreements of \$56.7 million in Q2 2011, which is an increase of 49.2 percent from Q2 2010.

Average transaction value is calculated as the dollar value of net originated sales divided by the number of sales transactions and excludes amounts such as closing costs that are not included in net originated sales, responses are weighted by the dollar value of net originated sales (excluding telesales). It is a measure of the average purchase amount per buyer during a given period and is potentially influenced by numerous factors, including the mix of timeshare products sold. For example, in a given period, buyers may purchase a greater mix of one-bedroom units or biennials⁶, resorts may have fewer high season intervals available or new resorts may open for sales. As a result, the average transaction value may change even though there were no changes to existing pricing.


As a further reflection of the multiple factors that can impact this measure, the responses differed by company. Eleven respondents reported average transaction value had increased from Q2 2010 and thirteen reported the value had decreased. Figure 3 below illustrates the average transaction value calculated using a weighted average.

⁴ This represents the number of tours taken by guests in the company's efforts to sell timeshares, including all tours of sales prospects, whether they occur on-site or at an off-site sales center.

⁵ Telesales are sales that are conducted by telephone. Telesales are typically made to existing owners and were excluded from this analysis of sales measures because they do not involve the typical tour process used for sales at on-site or off-site sales offices.

⁶ Biennial products allow owners to use intervals every other year, instead of each year.

Figure 3. Weighted average transaction value




Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 25 company survey responses.

Volume per guest (VPG)⁷, a measure of sales efficiency, is calculated as net originated sales per tour, increased by 0.5 percent from Q2 2010 to Q2 2011.

⁷ VPG is calculated as net originated sales not including telesales, divided by the number of tours, responses are weighted by the dollar value of net originated sales (excluding telesales).

Figure 4. Weighted average volume per guest



Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 23 company survey responses.

The averages in this report are weighted averages; however, we also disclose the simple averages for the close rate, volume per guest, and average transaction value herein, see Figure 5 below.

Figure 5. Weighted and simple averages for selected sales metrics

	Weighted Average Q2 2010	Weighted Average Q2 2011	Simple Average Q2 2010	Simple Average Q2 2011
Second quarter				
Volume per guest	\$2,435	\$2,446	\$2,133	\$2,127
Average transaction value	\$17,679	\$17,262	\$15,238	\$15,500
Close rate	14.6%	14.6%	14.0%	13.7%

Among the companies that provided key sales indicators, 22 provided rescission information. The weighted average rescission rate⁸ among those companies was 13.3 percent in Q2 2011, a decrease of 0.6 percentage points from Q2 2010. Eleven companies reported a decrease in rescissions between Q2 2010 and Q2 2011, while ten reported an increase. One company reported no change.

Respondents were asked a question related to rescission information on upgrade and non upgrade sales. For the fourteen respondent companies that provided rescission information on upgrade sales, the weighted average rescission rate (dollar value of rescissions as a percentage of gross sales) decreased

⁸ Measured as the dollar amount of rescissions as a portion of gross sales weighted by the dollar value of net originated sales (excluding telesales).

from 8.9 percent in Q2 2010 to 8.5 percent in Q2 2011. The seventeen respondent companies that provided rescission information on non upgrade sales, the weighted average rescission rate (dollar value of rescissions as a percentage of gross sales) decreased from 17.1 percent in Q2 2010 to 15.6 percent in Q2 2011.

4. Consumer financing terms remained stable as average down payments increased in the second quarter

Information on the characteristics of new financing provided to consumers at the point of sale was collected and aggregated.⁹ The average interest rate on new consumer loans in Q2 2011 was 13.9 percent, a decrease of 0.4 percentage points from Q2 2010. There was a 0.8 month decrease in the term for which loans are made, with average loan terms in Q2 2011 of approximately 116 months.

Respondents were asked to provide the average down payment on upgrade sales separate from non-upgrade sales.¹⁰ This is because purchasers of upgrades can frequently count the equity in their existing vacation ownership interest toward the down payment that they are making on the upgrade interest. As a result, down payments on upgrade sales are typically higher than on non-upgrade sales, and a change in the mix of upgrade and non-upgrade sales could impact the overall average down payment. Twenty-five respondents reported that the average down payment on non-upgrade sales increased 1.8 percentage points to 20.1 percent of the stated sales price on financed sales in Q2 2011 compared to Q2 2010. Twenty-two respondents reported that the down payment on upgrade sales, which includes the value of equity in the owners' existing vacation ownership interest, was 47.9 percent in Q2 2011, an increase of 2.2 percentage points from Q2 2010.

Figure 6. Characteristics of consumer timeshare loan financing

	2010	2011	Difference
Second Quarter			
Average:			
Interest rate (annual)	14.3%	13.9%	(0.4%)
Term (months)	117.1	116.3	(0.8) months
Non-upgrade down payment (% of price)	18.3%	20.1%	1.8%
Upgrade down payment (% of price)	45.7%	47.9%	2.2%

Source: Deloitte & Touche based on a minimum of 22 company survey responses


⁹ To calculate the average interest rate, down payment, and term, responses were weighted by the dollar value of net originated sales (including telesales).

¹⁰ An upgrade sale is a transaction whereby a customer relinquishes the right to a currently held timeshare interval and obtains a higher-priced timeshare interval from the same seller.

5. Capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory decreased in the second quarter of 2011 when compared to Q2 2010

Respondents were asked a question for the Q2 2011 survey related to capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory. Of the 18 respondents, 50%, increased capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory and 50%, decreased capital expenditures related to time share inventory. In total, capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory decreased by 28.8 percent from Q2 2010 to Q2 2011.

Figure 7. Capital Expenditures



Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 18 company survey responses.

The following table shows the quarterly survey results in aggregate.

ARDA International Foundation – 2011 Second Quarter Pulse Survey					
2011 Second Quarter End Results	Quarter Ending June 30 2010	Quarter Ending June 30 2011	Increase/ (Decrease)	Increase/ (Decrease) Percent	Survey Responses
General Characteristics					
1. Ownership status (public or private)	Public: 27% Private: 73%	Public: 23% Private: 77%			26
2. Are fee-for-service activities being provided by another developer on your behalf?	N/A N/A	Yes: 4% No: 96%			
Receivables Portfolio					
3. The following questions refer to the portfolio of receivables (total held and/or serviced receivables, including securitized and/or hypothecated receivables), including receivables for sales made in earlier years.					
a. Gross outstanding portfolio balance, at period end (in dollars)	\$11,882,580,102	\$11,487,807,087	(\$394,773,015)	-3.3%	25
b. At period end, on a contractual basis what percentage of the dollar amount of this portfolio was:					
Current (current or fewer than 31 days delinquent)	88.6%	88.4%	-0.2%	-0.2%	25
Between 31 to 60 days delinquent	1.9%	1.8%	-0.1%	-5.3%	25
Between 61 to 90 days delinquent	1.1%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	25
Between 91 to 120 days delinquent	1.0%	0.9%	-0.1%	-10.0%	25
More than 120 days delinquent	7.4%	7.8%	0.4%	5.4%	25
Total should equal 100%					
c. Gross defaults (total amount charged against the allowance for uncollectible accounts during period as a percentage of gross outstanding portfolio balance at period end)	2.5%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	22
Inventory					
4. Capital expenditures related to timeshare inventory	\$137,543,196	\$97,883,092	(\$39,660,104)	-28.8%	18
Resort Occupancy					
5. Timeshare occupancy mix by type. Report based on physical occupancy, meaning actual guest check-in occurred.					
a. Occupied (owner or owners' guest, exchange guest, renter, and marketing guest)	80.1%	80.4%	0.3%	0.4%	25
b. Vacant	19.9%	19.6%	-0.3%	-1.5 %	25
Total should equal 100%					
c. Total available room nights during period (This will be used to calculate the weighted average occupancy rate for the response set.)	7,417,715	7,976,698	558,983	7.5%	25
Selected Sales Metrics (Including telesales and home sits)					
6. Sales metrics. Include telesales in the following responses.					
a. Net originated sales (in dollars)	\$1,159,449,240	\$1,200,234,034	\$40,784,794	3.5%	25
a1. Net originated sales that were not upgrade sales (in dollars):	\$671,610,535	\$702,861,007	\$31,250,472	4.7%	23
a2. Net originated sales that were upgrade sales (in dollars):	\$361,623,775	\$371,428,512	\$9,804,737	2.7%	21

b. Rescissions	13.9%	13.3%	-0.6%	-4.3%	22
6b1. Rescissions that were not upgrade sales	17.1%	15.6%	-1.5%	-8.8%	17
6b2. Rescissions that were upgrade sales	8.9%	8.5%	-0.4%	-4.5%	14

Selected Sales Metrics (Excluding telesales)

7. Sales metrics. Exclude telesales from the following responses. Include sales to existing owners and other in-house guests.

a. Number of tours. Represents the number of tours taken by guests in the company's efforts to sell timeshares. Include all tours of sales prospects, whether they occur on-site or at an off-site sales center.	524,252	553,755	29,503	5.6%	24
b. Number of sales transactions (exclude rescissions) (Transactions should include: week sales, EOY sales, multiple-week sales, upgrades (that count as zero weeks), points sales, and reloads (which should be part of all categories above, except upgrades). Transactions should not include sales of Sampler programs.)	73,392	76,000	2,608	3.6%	26
c. Close rate (excludes sales that are canceled through rescission, calculated based on formula)	14.6%	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%	23
d. Net originated sales excluding telesales	\$1,118,363,354	\$1,178,011,867	\$59,648,513	5.3%	25
e. Volume per guest ("VPG"). Represents timeshare sales revenue per guest and is calculated by dividing net originated sales, excluding telesales and home sits, by the number of tours. (calculated based on formula)	\$2,435	\$2,446	\$11	0.5%	23
f. Average transaction value (this is calculated based on net originated sales and excludes any charges not reflected in net originated sales, such as closing costs)	\$17,679	\$17,262	(\$417)	-2.4%	25
g. Total net originated sales for non-owned timeshare inventory sold under fee-for-service agreements	\$38,015,487	\$56,700,472	\$18,684,985	49.2%	6

Consumer Financing

8. The following items refer to new financing provided to consumers during the period. For upgrade sales, use the characteristics of the new loan. For example, if an owner with \$4,000 of equity and \$6,000 principal balance outstanding on an existing loan purchases an upgrade vacation ownership interest with a stated sales price of \$20,000, and uses the equity in their existing interval as the down payment, resulting in a new loan with a principal balance of \$16,000, use the interest rate and term of that \$16,000 loan.

a. Average interest rate.	14.3%	13.9%	-0.4%	-2.8%	25
b. Average term (in months).	117.1	116.3	-0.80	-0.7%	25
c. Average down payment.					
c1. Average down payment on non-upgrade sales	18.3%	20.1%	1.8%	9.8%	25
c2. Average down payment on upgrade sales	45.7%	47.9%	2.2%	4.8%	22

Method


The 2011 Second Quarter Pulse Survey was conducted by Deloitte & Touche on the behalf of ARDA International Foundation. The purpose of the research was to compile current data and to provide a current perspective on the timeshare industry's financial performance.

Deloitte & Touche developed the survey instrument in connection with input from ARDA International Foundation and industry participants. Deloitte & Touche distributed the survey directly to 51 timeshare and vacation ownership companies on July 11, 2011. The survey collection efforts focused on companies generating the largest volume of timeshare sales and companies that participate as board members of the American Resort Development Association (ARDA). Deloitte & Touche followed up with the companies by telephone and e-mail to encourage responses. Deloitte & Touche ended the survey collection effort on July 29, 2011 deadline, though additional responses received after the deadline were included in the analysis. By August 4, 2011, 26 companies, or 51 percent of those surveyed, responded.

During the data analysis phase, Deloitte & Touche contacted several of the respondents with follow-up questions about specific answers they provided. Responses to some questions that were left blank or were unusual were ultimately excluded from the analysis. Though a total of 26 responses were collected, most questions (other than headquarters location) were not answered by all 26 respondent companies as indicated in the "survey responses" column on the "Aggregate Results" tables.

The response base includes major companies in the U.S. timeshare sector. The 25 respondents that provided sales information reported aggregate Q2 2011 net originated sales, including telesales, of \$1.2 billion. Six of the 26 companies are publicly traded companies, and six of the 26 are affiliated with major hotel brands. The survey is focused on the U.S.; considering the location of companies' headquarters provides an indication of the geographic regions represented by the response base. Many of the U.S. respondents are based in the Southeast, including nine in Florida and one in another Southeastern U.S. location, though companies in the Northeast and Midwest region, Southwest and West region, and Canada also responded.¹¹

Figure 8. Distribution of companies by headquarters location, 2011



Source: Deloitte & Touche based on 26 company survey responses.

¹¹ Regional definitions: Florida (FL); Northeast and Midwest (CT, DC, DE, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, MA, MD, ME, MI, MN, MO, ND, NE, NH, NJ, NY, OH, PA, RI, SD, VA, VT, WI, WV); Southeast (AL, AR, GA, LA, MS, NC, SC, TN); and Southwest and West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OK, OR, TX, UT, WA, WY).

To effectively interpret the survey results it is important to understand that the survey is not a projection as it is not based on a random sample of companies, nor is it a census of all companies. The survey is based on responses from participating companies that account for a predominance of industry sales, and this is one of the reasons it is seen as a valuable resource.

The companies that participated in this survey are not identical to those that participated in prior editions of the Pulse Survey or of the Financial Performance Survey, which is an annual survey conducted on behalf of the ARDA International Foundation. The most recent previous Pulse Survey reported on activity through the first quarter of 2011. The current Pulse Survey functions similarly as a timely source of information on several key statistics, with a focus on the second quarter of 2011. Due to the relatively large size of some of the companies participating, the changing composition of the response base can materially impact the results reported in this version compared to previous editions. Also, the timeshare industry experiences changes in activity levels during different seasons of the year. It is not accurate to compare the Q2 2010 and Q2 2011 results presented in this edition with results shown in reports of earlier periods without understanding that the response base and reporting period have changed.

Unless otherwise noted specifically as simple averages, all averages in this report are weighted averages. For example, responses to questions related to sales topics, such as volume per guest, are weighted by net originated sales volume.

About Deloitte

“Deloitte” is the brand under which tens of thousands of dedicated professionals in independent firms throughout the world collaborate to provide audit, consulting, financial advisory, risk management, and tax services to selected clients. Deloitte client service teams, under the leadership of a Lead Client Service Partner, work closely with clients to create powerful business solutions for organizations in the United States and around the world.

Deloitte refers to a network of independent firms which are members of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited (DTTL), a UK private company limited by guarantee. Each member firm provides services in a particular geographic area and is subject to the laws and professional regulations of the particular country or countries in which it operates. Please see www.deloitte.com/about for a detailed description of the legal structure DTTL and its member firms. Please see www.deloitte.com/us/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte LLP and its subsidiaries.

